

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

•				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,645	04/22/2004	Gabriel Petta	200195-9001-00	6976
1131	7590 04/27/2006		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP			REDMAN, JERRY E	
1 0 1 1	Two Prudential Plaza 180 North Stetson Avenue, Suite 2000			PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	L 60601	3634		
			DATE MAILED: 04/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/829,645	PETTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jerry Redman	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression in the contract of the con	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the Education is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/26/2004</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I-claims 1-18 in the reply filed on 2/13/2006 is acknowledged.

The applicant's information disclosure statement dated 5/26/2004 has been considered and a copy has been placed in the file.

The status of the claims is as follows:

Claims 1-18 are herein addressed below; and

Claims 19-26 have been cancelled.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the applicant should include the continuation data (updated) at the beginning of the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 11, 12, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, lines 2-3, is "a glazing unit" the same glazing unit that's recited in claim 1, line 1? Throughout claims 1-6, the applicant recites "a glazing unit" and "a sash". Are these the same glazing unit and sash that has been previously recited or are there two glazing units and sashes? In claim 3, line 3, the phraseology "said recesses comprising recesses" is not readily

understood by the Examiner. In claim 8, lines 2-3, is "a casement window" the same one that is recited in claim 7 or are there two casement windows? In claim 17, line 4, the applicant recites "a locking mechanism". Is this the same locking mechanism that's recited in claim 16 or are there two different locking mechanisms? There is a lack of antecedent basis for the following: In claim 3, line 1, "the form", claim 4, line 2, "the inner perimeter", In claim 11, line 3, "the open area".

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

As best understood, claims 1-10, 12/10, and 13 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klimek (5,553,420). Klimek (420) discloses a plastic (column 1, lines 31-67) window frame (12) and sash (14) assembly (10), a locking bar (92) having barbs (94 and 96), grooves (in elements 91 and 93) which enable the locking bar to slide, slots (non circular recesses, 98 and 100) provided for engagement with barbs (94 and 96), and a window operating control (80, 82, and 83) for moving the swinging sash (14) between open and closed positions.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3634

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

As best understood, claims 11, 21/11, and 14-18 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klimek ('420). All of the elements of the instant invention are discussed in detail above except providing hinge mounting areas. Klimek ('420) discloses a hinging axis (A-A) in which the sash (14) is pivotally mounted. Although Klimek ('420) fails to specifically disclose hinge mounting areas, it is inherent that the sash of Klimek ('420) has hinge mounting areas since a swinging closure and more specifically, a swinging closure which pivots about axis A-A has hinge mounting areas thereby enabling the sash to pivot about a vertical axis with respect to the frame (12).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.s. patent to Mauro discloses a plastic sash and frame assembly similar to that of the applicant's invention. U.S. patent to Boesch discloses a plastic sash and frame assembly similar to that of the applicant's invention. U.S. patent to Menegazzo discloses a plastic frame assembly similar to that of the applicant's invention. U.S. patent to Bellart discloses a plastic sash and frame assembly similar to that of the applicant's invention. U.S. patent to Herrmann et al. disclose a plastic frame assembly similar to that of the applicant's invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,645

Art Unit: 3634

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman at telephone number 571-272-6835.

Jerry Redman
Primary Examiner